

02 DEC 19 PM 3:13

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY _____ DEPUTY

COPY

1 JOHN P. REITMAN (Bar No. 80579)
2 ANDREW S. ROTTER (Bar No. 86725)
3 Gumport, Reitman & Montgomery
4 550 South Hope Street, Suite 825
5 Los Angeles, California 90071-2627
6 Telephone: (213) 452-4900
7 Facsimile: (213) 623-3302

Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson,
Chapter 11 Trustee

7 RICHARD L. WYNNE (Bar No. 120349)
8 R. ALEXANDER PILMER (Bar No. 166196)
9 TIMOTHY B. JAFEK (Bar No. 214066)
10 Kirkland & Ellis
11 777 S. Figueroa Street
12 Los Angeles, California 90017
13 Telephone: (213) 680-8400
14 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Special Litigation Counsel for R. Todd Neilson,
Chapter 11 Trustee

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHERN DIVISION**

In re
REED E. SLATKIN,

Debtor.

**CASE NO. ND 01-11549-RR
CHAPTER 11
A.P. NO. 02-01164**

R. TODD NEILSON, Trustee of the
Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Estate of Reed E.
Slatkin,

**MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE
ORDER MADE ON AN
EMERGENCY BASIS**

Plaintiff,

Date: To be set
Time: To be set
Place: 1415 State Street
Courtroom 201
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
[Judge Riblet]

v.

WILLIAM W. and ANNE HUTCHINS,
individuals, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

///

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	2
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.....	2
A. The Trustee’s thorough investigation included interviewing Mr. Slatkin.....	2
B. The Trustee safeguarded the Slatkin transcripts.	3
C. Mr. Hutchins obtained the Slatkin transcripts from Spherion.....	4
D. Immediately after realizing that copies of the Slatkin transcripts had been obtained by unauthorized persons, the Trustee requested return of the transcripts.	6
E. The Trustee has consistently claimed work product protection for the Slatkin transcripts.	7
III. ARGUMENT.....	7
A. The Slatkin transcripts are work product.	7
B. Because of the common interest privilege, the Trustee did not waive his work product protection on account of the presence of the FBI and IRS special agents.	9
C. The inadvertent production of the Slatkin transcripts to Mr. Hutchins does not waive the work product protection.	10
1. Under federal law, the Trustee did not waive his work product protection because of the inadvertent production.	11
2. California ethical rules on inadvertent production also require Mr. Hutchins to return the Slatkin transcripts to the Trustee.....	13
D. Trustee’s counsel certifies that he made a good faith effort to resolve this dispute.	15
E. An emergency hearing on this motion is justified and convenient.....	15
F. In the alternative, the Trustee moves for an order shortening time.	16
IV. CONCLUSION	16

