

FILED

03 MAY -5 PM 3:26

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY *JC* DEPUTY

1

2

3 Ivan Kezsbom  
4 (for Nationwide Title Clearing)

5 Ivan Kezsbom  
(for Great Expectations, Inc.)

6 2100 Alt Route 19  
7 Palm Harbor, FL 34683  
(727) 771 4000 ext 219

8

9 IN PRO PER

10

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

11

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12

NORTHERN DIVISION

13 In re

14 REED E. SLATKIN and Substantively  
15 Consolidated Affiliates TOPSIGHT  
OREGON, LLC, and REED SLATKIN  
16 INVESTMENT CLUB, L.P.,

) Case No. ND-01-11549-RR [Substantively  
) Consolidated With Former Case No. ND-01-  
) 12990-RR]

[Chapter 11 Case]

Adv. No. ~~AD-02-1218-RR~~

Judge: Hon. Robin L. Riblet

17

18

) NOTICE OF OBJECTIONS OF  
) CLAIMANT IVAN KEZSBOM TO  
) PROPOSED CHAPTER 11 PLAN  
) DATED JANUARY 30, 2003

BY "FAX"

19

20

21

22

Debtors.

) Date: June 2, 2003  
) Time: 10:00 a.m.  
) Place: 1415 State Street  
) Courtroom 201  
) Santa Barbara, California

23

24

25 TO THE HONORABLE ROBIN L. RIBLET, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE,  
26 THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE, THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED  
CREDITORS, THE DEBTOR AND THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE:

27

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that, Ivan Kezsbom, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy

28

Procedure 3020, HEREBY OBJECT to the proposed Chapter 11 Trustee And Creditor

1460  
87

Page(s)1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Committee's Joint Plan Of Reorganization Dated January 30, 2003 (the "Plan") submitted by R. Todd Neilson, trustee (the "Trustee") of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy estate (the "Estate") of Reed E. Slatkin ("Slatkin" or "Debtor") and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in Slatkin's Bankruptcy Case (the "Committee"), on the following grounds, and for the reasons stated herein:

The Plan should be confirmed because the Trustee failed to disclose that he and his lawyers are planning to sue the Church of Scientology, which I and a number of other Creditor claimants am a member, and should have had the opportunity to consider when deciding whether to send in a ballot accepting or rejecting the Plan. I found this out from as a result of a Los Angeles Time article instead of through the Plan or the Disclosure.

Dated: May 5, 2003

By:   
Ivan Kecsbom

I, Ivan Kecsbom, had two investment accounts with Reed Slatkin. I filed a Proof of Claim for these accounts. My accounts suffered a net loss of approximately \$2,400,000. I am a longstanding member of the Church of Scientology. I reviewed the Trustee's disclosure statement and proposed plan, and it did not state that they were planning to sue the Church of Scientology. I would be opposed to any Plan that involves suing my Church, and would prefer a plan that liquidates the assets of the Estate as promptly as possible. Based on the disclosure, I was under the impression that they did not intend to sue the Church. I believe other Scientologists creditors were also left with the same impression that the Trustee did not intend to sue the Church, based on the Disclosure Statement.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

On March 26, 2003, in the L.A. Times, the Trustee's attorney announced that he intends to sue the Church. I think that this is improper under the good faith disclosure requirements and feel like I have had the wool pulled over my eyes, with respect to this particular issue. If they were going to sue the Church, they should have disclosed it in the Plan. Therefore this, proposed plan should not be confirmed until a proper Disclosure is circulated amongst the Creditors comprised of many Scientologists, their family and friends, amongst others.

Dated: May 5, 2003

By   
Ivan Kezsbom